Discriminating groups

Benjamin Fine
Fairfield University

Anthony M.Gaglione*
U.S. Naval Academy

Alexei G. Myasnikov†
City College of New York (CUNY)

Dennis Spellman
Temple University

October 25, 2003

Abstract

A group G is termed **discriminating** provided that every group separated by G is discriminated by G. In this paper we answer several questions concerning discrimination which arose from [BMR1]. We prove that a finitely generated equationally Noetherian group G is discriminating if and only if the quasivariety generated by G is the minimal universal class containing G. Among other results, we show that the non-Abelian free nilpotent groups are non-discriminating. Finally we list some open problems concerning discriminating groups.

0 Introduction

This paper is concerned with group theoretical properties of separation and discrimination. These properties play a role in several areas of group theory, in particular, the theory of group varieties and the theory of algebraic geometry over groups (see [N] and [BMR2]).

The main purpose of the paper is to answer certain questions which arose from [BMR1]. We prove that a finitely generated equationally Noetherian group G is discriminating if and only if the quasivariety generated by G coincides with the universal closure of G (the minimal universal class containing G). Finding axioms of universal theories of finitely generated groups from nilpotent (metabelian) varieties is an extremely difficult problem. A description of discriminating groups in these varieties would shed some light on this problem. Among other results we prove that non-abelian free solvable and non-abelian free nilpotent groups are non-discriminating. Moreover, we show that in all

*The research of this author was partially supported by the Naval Academy Research Council.

†The research of this author was partially supported by the NSF Research Grant DMS-9970618.

known (to us) cases non-discrimination is related to some kind of commutative transitivity of elements in the group, i.e., commutativity of centralizers of a particular type.

The paper is organized into the following four sections. In Section 1 we give all necessary definitions and basic results. Here we also exhibit examples of discriminating groups. Surprisingly enough, several important types of groups from different areas of group theory turn out to be discriminating. These include the torsion-free abelian groups, Thompson's group F, the derived subgroup of a Gupta-Sidki group, and many of the Grigorchuk groups of intermediate growth of type G_ω. In Section 2, we establish links between discriminating groups and universal theories, Section 3 contains results on free nilpotent groups, and finally, in Section 4 we list several open questions concerning discriminating groups.

1 Preliminaries

We start by listing here some definitions and results given in [BMR1] and [BMR2].

Definition 1.1 *A group H is **separated** by a group G if for each non-trivial element $h \in H$ there is a homomorphism $\phi_h : H \to G$ such that $\phi_h(h) \neq 1$. In the event that each ϕ_h is epi we also say that H is **residually** G. The group H is **discriminated** by G provided that to every finite set $X \subset H$ of non-trivial elements of H there is a homomorphism $\phi_X : H \to G$ such that $\phi_X(h) \neq 1$ for all $h \in X$. In the event that each ϕ_X is epi, H is also called **fully residually** G.*

Definition 1.2 *A group G is called **discriminating** provided that every group separated by G is discriminated by G.*

It should be pointed out that there is a distinct difference between our notion of discriminating groups and the classical definition in H. Neumann [N] (see Definitions 17.21 and 17.22 of [N]). According to [N] if G is a group and V is the least variety containing G, then G is **discriminating** provided that to every finite set of words $w_1(x_1, ..., x_n), ..., w_k(x_1, ..., x_n)$, in finitely many variables $x_1, ...x_n$, such that none of the equations $w_1(x_1, ..., x_n) = 1, ..., w_k(x_1, ..., x_n) = 1$ is a law in V there is a tuple $(g_1, ..., g_n) \in G^n$ for which simultaneously $w_1(g_1, ..., g_n) \neq 1, ..., w_k(g_1, ..., g_n) \neq 1$. In fact, if a group G is discriminating in the sense of Definition 1.2 above, then it is not hard to show that G is discriminating in the sense of [N]. However, there are groups (e.g., non-abelian free groups) which are discriminating in the sense of [N] but are not discriminating in the sense of Definition 1.2. If we say a group G is discriminating, we shall always mean in the sense of Definition 1.2.

Although it is difficult to determine which groups are discriminating they can be characterized in the following very simple manner:

A simple criterion (BMR1) *A group G is discriminating if and only if its direct square $G \times G$ is discriminated by G.*

Proof: The necessity is obvious. Indeed, the Cartesian square $G \times G$ is separated into G by the canonical projections.

For the sufficiency suppose that G discriminates $G \times G$. It follows easily (by induction on n) that G discriminates G^n for all positive integers n. Now if G separates H and $h_1, ..., h_k$ are finitely many non-trivial elements of H, then there are homomorphisms $\phi_i : H \to G$ $(1 \leq i \leq k)$ such that $\phi_i(h_i) \neq 1$. Taking $\phi = \phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_k$ and using the assumption that G discriminates G^k, yields the desired conclusion. ■

Corollary 1.3 *Let G be a discriminating group and α be a cardinal. Then the Cartesian power G^α of G is also discriminating.*

Proof. If the cardinal α is finite then, as we have mentioned above, $G^\alpha \times G^\alpha$ is discriminated by G, hence it is discriminated by G^α, and thus G^α is discriminating. If α is an infinite cardinal, then $G^\alpha \times G^\alpha$ is isomorphic to G^α, in particular, it is discriminated by G^α, therefore G^α is discriminating. ■

Now we discuss several examples of discriminating and non-discriminating groups.

Proposition 1.4 *Torsion-free abelian groups are discriminating.*

Proof: We use additive notation here. Suppose that $(a_1, b_1), \ldots, (a_n, b_n)$ are finitely many nontrivial elements in $A \times A$ where A is a torsion-free abelian group. We must find a homomorphism, $A \times A \to A$, which does not annihilate any of the (a_i, b_i). We use induction on n.

When $n = 1$ the result is trivially true since A separates $A \times A$.

Now suppose inductively that the result is true for $n = k$ to show its truth for $n = k + 1$.

By inductive hypothesis, if $(a_1, b_1), \ldots, (a_{k+1}, b_{k+1})$ are nontrivial elements of $A \times A$, then there is a homomorphism $f : A \times A \to A$ such that $f(a_i, b_i) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, ..., k$. Moreover since A separates $A \times A$, there is a homomorphism $g : A \times A \to A$ such that $g(a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}) \neq 0$. Thus since A is torsion-free (and hence roots, when they exist, are unique), for sufficiently large positive integer N, $\phi = f + Ng$ will not annihilate any of the $(a_1, b_1), \ldots, (a_{k+1}, b_{k+1})$. Hence, by induction, we are finished. ■

The more interesting case then is when an abelian group has torsion. If an abelian group with torsion is discriminating then its torsion subgroup must be infinite (see Proposition **??**). Baumslag, Myasnikov and Remeslennikov [BMR1] have some partial results on characterization of torsion abelian discriminating groups.

An example of a finitely presented non-abelian discriminating group is given by R. Thompson's group F. The group F is a torsion-free infinite dimensional FP_∞ group and can be regarded as the group of orientation preserving piecewise linear homeomorphisms from the unit interval $[0, 1]$ to itself that are differentiable except at finitely many dyadic rational numbers and such that on intervals of differentiability the derivatives are powers of two (see [CPF]).

Proposition 1.5 *Thompson's group F has the property that its direct square embeds in it, i.e., $F \times F \hookrightarrow F$. Hence it is a finitely presented non-abelian discriminating group.*

Proof: Consider the subgroup of F consisting of those homeomorphisms $g \in F$ satisfying the following three conditions:

1. $g(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}$
2. $g\left([0, \frac{1}{2}]\right) \subseteq [0, \frac{1}{2}]$
3. $g\left([\frac{1}{2}, 1]\right) \subseteq [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$.

Note that any element of F fixes the endpoints 0 and 1 of $[0, 1]$. Given an ordered pair $(f_1, f_2) \in F \times F$ then one constructs an element g in the subgroup as follows. Define

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} f_1(2x) & if\ 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + f_2(2x-1)\right) & if\ \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Then the map $(f_1, f_2) \mapsto g$ is a group isomorphism from $F \times F$ onto the subgroup of F described above. ■

Another example of a finitely generated non-abelian discriminating group is given by the commutator subgroup of the Gupta-Sidki groups H. Recall that for each prime p, there is a Gupta-Sidki group $H = H_p$ which is a subgroup of the automorphism group of a rooted tree (see [GS]). For a given p, $H = H_p$ is then a 2-generator infinite p-group. It can be shown the commutator subgroup H' of H has the property that $H' \times H' \hookrightarrow H'$. Hence H' discriminates $H' \times H'$ and is therefore discriminating.

Proposition 1.6 *Let $H = H_p$ be the Gupta-Sidki group. Then its commutator subgroup H' is discriminating.*

The last class of groups we give as examples of discriminating groups are the Grigorchuk groups, G_ω. Let p be a prime and let $\omega : \mathbb{N} \to \{0, 1, ..., p\}$ be an infinite sequence of integers $0, 1, ..., p$. For each such sequence ω, Grigorchuk defined a finitely generated group G_ω (see [Gr]) which has intermediate growth. These groups have the following two properties:

1. G_ω is residually a finite p-group for every sequence ω.
2. If every number from the set $\{0, 1, ..., p\}$ occurs in ω infinitely many times, i.e., $\omega^{-1}(k)$ is infinite for every $k \in \{0, 1, ..., p\}$, then G_ω contains a copy of every finite p-group as a subgroup.

Proposition 1.7 *Let $\omega : \mathbb{N} \to \{0, 1, ..., p\}$ be an infinite sequence in which every number from the set $\{0, 1, ..., p\}$ occurs infinitely many times then the group G_ω is discriminating.*

(The following proof evolved from discussions with R. Grigorchuk.)

Proof: Let $\omega : \mathbb{N} \to \{0, 1, ..., p\}$ be an infinite sequence in which every number from the set $\{0, 1, ..., p\}$ occurs infinitely many times. To prove that the group G_ω is discriminating it suffices to show that G_ω discriminates $G_\omega \times G_\omega$. Since G_ω is residually a finite p-group, for every finite subset $S \subset G_\omega$ there exists a finite p-group K and a homomorphism $\phi : G_\omega \to K$ such that $\phi(g) \neq 1$ for any $g \in S$. It follows that for every finite subset $T \subset G_\omega \times G_\omega$ there exists a finite p-group L and a homomorphism $\phi : G_\omega \times G_\omega \to L$ such that $\phi(g) \neq 1$ for any $g \in T$. Due to property 2, above, there exists an embedding $\psi : L \to G_\omega$. Hence the homomorphism $\phi \circ \psi : G_\omega \times G_\omega \to G_\omega$ discriminates the set T into G_ω. This shows that G_ω is discriminating. ■

Other non-abelian finitely generated examples of groups G where $G \cong G \times G$ and hence discriminating groups are given in [HM] and [J]. These are infinitely presented as are the Gupta-Sidki group and the Grigorchuk groups, G_ω.

Proposition 1.8 *Any non-abelian free group F is non-discriminating.*

Proof: Indeed, let a and b be two non-commuting elements in F. Then in the group $F \times F$ the non-trivial element $(a, 1)$ commutes with non-commuting elements $(1, a), (1, b)$. If F discriminates $F \times F$ then there exists a homomorphism $\phi : F \times F \to F$ such that $\phi(a, 1) \neq 1$ and $[\phi(1, a), \phi(1, b)] \neq 1$. This implies that the centralizer of the non-trivial element $\phi(1, a)$ in F is non-abelian - a contradiction. ■

The argument in Proposition **??** works for any non-abelian group in which centralizers of non-trivial elements are abelian. Recall that groups with abelian centralizers of non-trivial elements are called *commutative transitive* (abbreviated CT). Discussions of groups of this type can be found in [FGRS] and [W]. Observe, that torsion-free hyperbolic groups are CT and subgroups of CT groups are CT. Now we have the following result.

Proposition 1.9 *A non-abelian CT group is non-discriminating.*

Example 1.10 *Every non-trivial finite group is non-discriminating.*

To see this, assume that K is a non-trivial finite discriminating group. Then K discriminates $K \times K$, hence there exists a monomorphism from $K \times K$ into K, which is impossible.

The argument in Example **??** provides the following more general result.

Proposition 1.11 *Let G be a group in which non-trivial elements of finite order form a finite non-empty set. Then G is non-discriminating.*

The discussion above indicates, perhaps, that discriminating groups are "close to abelian" and "far from hyperbolic". In what follows we discuss discriminating (or non-discriminating) groups in the varieties of abelian, nilpotent, and solvable groups.

Notice, that Propositions 1.4 and **??** show that among finitely generated abelian groups only free abelian groups of finite rank are discriminating. As alluded to previously, Baumslag, Myasnikov and Remeslennikov have characterized only those torsion abelian groups which for each prime p the p-primary component modulo its maximal divisible subgroup contains no nontrivial elements of infinite p-height. Still the main question which abelian groups are discriminating stands open (see discussion at the end of this paper).

It is known that free solvable groups are CT ([M], [W]). This together with Proposition 1.9 gives the following result.

Proposition 1.12 *Non-abelian free solvable groups are non-discriminating, as well as their non-abelian subgroups.*

Theorem 17 of [W] asserts that if $G = AwrB$ where A is an abelian group and B is a torsion-free abelian group, then G is CT. In the case where A is torsion-free this follows from the fact [C] that $AwrB$ is universally equivalent to a non-abelian free metabelian group. (Here $A \neq 1$ and $B \neq 1$.) Hence we have the following

Corollary 1.13 *The restricted wreath product of two non-trivial torsion-free abelian groups is non-discriminating.*

Non-abelian nilpotent groups are not commutative transitive (since they have non-trivial center), so the argument above cannot be used directly to sort out non-discriminating nilpotent groups. Nevertheless, some extension of the commutative transitive property will be the main technique in showing that non-abelian free nilpotent groups are non-discriminating. This is one of the main results of the paper, we prove it in Section 3. Are there any non-abelian finitely generated nilpotent discriminating groups - we do not know.

2 Discriminating groups and logic

In this section we establish an important relation of discriminating groups with logic.

Let L be the first-order language with equality and a binary operation symbol $\cdot$, a unary operation symbol $^{-1}$, and a constant symbol 1. We call L the language of group theory. A **universal sentence** of L is one of the form $\forall x_1 \dots x_n \varphi(x_1 \dots x_n)$ where φ is a formula of L containing no quantifiers and containing at most the variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$. It is easy to see that every universal sentence in the language L is logically equivalent to a formula of the following type:

$$\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n (\bigvee_j \left(\bigwedge_i (u_{ij}(x_1 \dots x_n) = 1 \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_k w_{kj}(x_1 \dots x_n) \neq 1) \right)),$$

where u_{ij}, w_{kj} are group words in variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$.

A class of groups $\mathcal{K}$ is **axiomatizable** by a set of universal sentences Σ in the language L if $\mathcal{K}$ consists precisely of all groups satisfying all formulas from Σ. In this event we say that $\mathcal{K}$ is **a universal class** and Σ is a set of **axioms** for $\mathcal{K}$. For a groups G denote by $Th_\forall(G)$ the universal theory of G, i.e., the set of all universal sentences of L which are true in G. Two groups G and H are **universally equivalent** (abbreviated $G \equiv_\forall H$) if $Th_\forall(G) = Th_\forall(H)$. The **universal closure** of G is the class $ucl(G)$ axiomatizable by $Th_\forall(G)$. Notice, that $ucl(G)$ is the minimal universal class containing G.

A **quasi identity** in the language L is a formula of the type

$$\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n (\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} r_i(x) = 1 \to s(x) = 1), \tag{1}$$

where $r_i(x)$ and $s(x)$ are group words in $x_1, \dots, x_n$. A class of groups $\mathcal{K}$ is called *a* **quasivariety** if it can be axiomatized by a set of quasi identities.

For a group G denote by $Q(G)$ the set of all quasi identities which hold in G. Clearly, $Q(G)$ is a set of axioms of the *minimal* quasivariety $qvar(G)$ containing G.

It is convenient to have a purely algebraic characterization of the universal classes above. To this end, for a class of groups $\mathcal{K}$ we denote by $S(\mathcal{K})$, $P(\mathcal{K})$ and $P_u(\mathcal{K})$ the classes of all groups isomorphic to subgroups, unrestricted cartesian products and ultrapowers of groups from $\mathcal{K}$, respectively. It is known that $ucl(G) = SP_u(G)$ (see, for example, [BS] where this follows from Lemma 3.8 of Chapter 9). The quasivariety generated by G is the least axiomatic class containing G and closed under subgroups and unrestricted cartesian products. This class may be characterized as the class of all groups embeddable in a direct product of a family of ultrapowers of G. In symbols, $qvar(G) = SPP_u(G)$ [GL]. We need one more class. If G is a group the least class containing G and closed under isomorphism, subgroups and direct products is the **prevariety** generated by G. This class may be realized as the class of all groups embeddable in a direct power of G. In symbols, $pvar(G) = SP(G)$. In general, $pvar(G)$ is not axiomatizable. Clearly,

$$pvar(H),\ ucl(H) \subseteq qvar(H).$$

Lemma 2.1 *If G is discriminating then every Cartesian power G^α is universally equivalent to G.*

Proof. Since G is embeddable into G^α we have $Th_\forall(G^\alpha) \subseteq Th_\forall(G)$ (universal sentences are preserved under taking subgroups). On the other hand, since G separates G^α, G discriminates G^α. This implies that $Th_\forall(G^\alpha) \supseteq Th_\forall(G)$. Indeed, if a universal sentence $\forall x_1 \dots x_n \varphi(x_1 \dots x_n)$ holds in G but does not hold in G^α then the negation $\neg\varphi$ of φ holds in G^α on some elements, say, $a_1, \dots, a_n$. Observe that $\neg\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is equivalent to a finite system of equations and inequalities. Now there exists a homomorphism $\lambda : G^\alpha \to G$ which preserves all these inequalities (and equations). Therefore $\neg\varphi(\lambda(a_1), \dots, \lambda(a_n))$ holds in G - contradiction. ■

Under some circumstances the reverse of the lemma above is also true. If G is a group and G_o is a subgroup of G, then, given a word

$$w \in G_o * < x_1, ..., x_n; >,$$

the equation $w = 1$ shall be called an equation over G in $x_1, ..., x_n$ with **coefficients** in G_o. In the case where $G_o = 1$ the equation $w = 1$ shall be dubbed **coefficientless**. To formulate what we mean by saying that sometimes the reverse of the lemma holds, we need to recall the following definition. A group G is called **equationally Noetherian** if every coefficientless system in finitely many variables is equivalent over G to a finite subsystem of itself. Notice that every abelian or linear group is equationally Noetherian. For detailed discussion on equationally Noetherian groups see [BMR2]. Here we mention just the following

Theorem 2.2 (BMR2) *Let G and H be finitely generated groups and G be equationally Noetherian. Then G is universally equivalent to H if and only if G discriminates H and H discriminates G.*

This implies the following result.

Proposition 2.3 *Let G be a finitely generated equationally Noetherian group. Then G is discriminating if and only if G and $G \times G$ are universally equivalent.*

Since finitely generated nilpotent are linear (see [A]) we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4 *A finitely generated nilpotent group G is discriminating if and only if G is universally equivalent to $G \times G$.*

Lemma 2.5 *Let G and H be finitely generated groups. Let G be equationally Noetherian and discriminating. If H is universally equivalent to G then H is also discriminating.*

Proof. Suppose $G \equiv_\forall H$. Then by Theorem **??** G discriminates H. Therefore $G \times G$ discriminates $H \times H$. Since G is discriminating G discriminates $G \times G$. Again, by Theorem **??** H discriminates G. This shows that H discriminates $H \times H$, hence H is discriminating, as desired. ■

Now we formulate the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.6 *Let G be a finitely generated equationally Noetherian group. Then G is discriminating if and only if $qvar(G) = ucl(G)$.*

Proof. Suppose G is discriminating. For a class of groups $\mathcal{K}$ by $\mathcal{K}_\omega$ we denote the subclass of all finitely generated groups from $\mathcal{K}$. To prove that $qvar(G) = ucl(G)$ it suffices to show that $qvar(G)_\omega = ucl(G)_\omega$. Indeed, this follows from the fact that every group is embeddable into an ultraproduct of its finitely generated subgroups. Since $qvar(G) \supseteq ucl(G)$ the inclusion $qvar(G)_\omega \supseteq$

$ucl(G)_\omega$ is obvious. Notice now, that for equationally Noetherian group G one has $qvar(G)_\omega = pvar(G)_\omega$ (see [MR]), therefore it suffices to show that $pvar(G)_\omega \subseteq ucl(G)_\omega$. Let H be a finitely generated group from $pvar(G)$. Then $H \leq G^\alpha$ for some cardinal α. By Lemma **??** $G \equiv_\forall G^\alpha$, so $G^\alpha \in ucl(G)$. That implies that $H \in ucl(G)$ because universal classes are closed under taking subgroups. This shows that $pvar(G)_\omega \subseteq ucl(G)_\omega$, as desired.

Now suppose that $qvar(G) = ucl(G)$. Then $G \times G \in ucl(G)$, thus $G \times G$ satisfies all the universal sentences which are true in G. On the other hand G is a subgroup of $G \times G$ hence G satisfies all the universal sentences which hold in $G \times G$. It follow that $G \equiv_\forall G \times G$. By Proposition **??** G is discriminating. ■

3 Discrimination of nilpotent groups

In this section we consider the question of whether or not nilpotent groups are discriminating. To fix notation, we define left-normed commutator by induction as follows.

$$[x_1, x_2] = x_1^{-1} x_2^{-1} x_1 x_2,$$

$$[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n] = [[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}], x_n].$$

We use $Z_n(G),\ n = 0, 1, 2...$to denote the n-th term of the upper central series of G. A group G is nilpotent of class c if c is the minimal positive integer for which $G = Z_c(G)$. Notice, that for an element $g \in G$ the following equivalence holds:

$$g \notin Z_m(G) \iff \textit{there exist } w_1, \ldots, w_m \in G \textit{ such that } [g, w_1, \ldots, w_m] \neq 1.$$

For A and B subgroups of a group G, we write $[A, B]$ for the subgroup of G generated by all commutators $[a, b]$ with $a \in A, b \in B$. We use $\gamma_n(G), n = 1, 2, 3, ...$ to denote the n-th term of the lower central series of G. A group G is nilpotent of class c if and only if c is the least positive integer such that $\gamma_{c+1}(G) = 1$.

If G is any nilpotent group and x is any nontrivial element of G, then we let the **weight** of x , denoted $wt(x)$, be the unique positive integer n such that $x \in \gamma_n(G)$ but $x \notin \gamma_{n+1}(G)$. We let $wt(1) = \infty > n$ for any integer n.

We let $\mathrm{F}_r(\mathcal{N}_c)$ denote the free group of rank r in the variety of groups nilpotent of class at most c. This is the group $F_r\ /\gamma_{c+1}(F_r)$ where F_r is the absolutely free group of rank r.

As a first reduction, we can restrict to torsion-free nilpotent groups via the next theorem.

Theorem 3.1 *Any finitely generated nilpotent group with nontrivial torsion is non-discriminating.*

Proof: Suppose G is a finitely generated nilpotent group with torsion subgroup T. Then T is finite since G satisfies the maximal condition for subgroups.The result then follows from Proposition 1.10. ■

We next extend the idea of commutative transitivity.

Definition 3.2 *A group G is* ***commutative transitive of level m*** *if G satisfies the following property*

$$[x,y]=1 \ \& \ [z,y]=1 \ \& \ y \notin Z_m(G) \Longrightarrow [x,z]=1,$$

i.e., the centralizers of elements not in $Z_m(G)$ are abelian.

Observe, that commutative transitive groups of level 0 are precisely the CT groups defined in the Section 2; commutative transitive groups of level 1 are commutation transitive groups which have been studied in [LR].

The next result shows that commutative transitive groups of a given level m form a universal class.

Lemma 3.3 *A group G is commutative transitive of level m if and only if G satisfies the following universal sentence*

$$\sigma_m = \forall x \forall y \forall z \forall w_1 \cdots \forall w_m (((xy=yx) \wedge (yz=zy) \wedge ([y,w_1,...,w_m] \neq 1)) \\ \rightarrow (xz=zx)).$$

The proof follows directly from the definition.

Proposition 3.4 *Let G be a non-abelian commutative transitive group of level m. If G is not nilpotent of class $\leq m$ then G is non-discriminating.*

Proof: Assume to the contrary that G is discriminating. Then G is universally equivalent to $G \times G$ (Lemma **??**). This implies that $G \times G$ is also commutative transitive of level m. Since G is not nilpotent of nilpotency class $\leq m$ then there exists $y \in G$ such that $y \notin Z_m(G)$, hence $(y,1) \notin Z_m(G \times G)$. Observe, that the centralizer of y in $G \times G$ is non-abelian (it contains $1 \times G$), therefore $G \times G$ is not commutative transitive of the level m ■

Proposition 3.5 *A free nilpotent group of class c is commutative transitive of level $c-1$.*

The proof follows from the following three lemmas:

Lemma 3.6 *(cf. Proposition 5.1 [LM]) Let r and c be integers with $min\{r,c\} \geq 2$. Let $G = F_r(\mathcal{N}_c)$ and let $x,y \in G$ -$\{1\}$. Then $[x,y] = 1$ in G if and only if either (1) $wt(x) + wt(y) \geq c+1$; or (2) $wt(x) = wt(y) = n \neq \frac{c+1}{2}$ and there exists a $v \in G$ with $wt(v) = n$ and there also exist integers $(p,q) \in (\mathbb{Z}$-$\{0\})^2$ and elements $(z_1,z_2) \in G^2$ such that simultaneously $x = v^p z_1$, $y = v^q z_2$, and $min\{wt(z_1)+n, wt(z_2)+n, wt(z_1)+wt(z_2)\} \geq c+1$.*

This result follows directly from results of Magnus (see [MKS] or [Ma]). The result seems to be known but has never appeared in print in this direct form. An equivalent version describing centralizers in free nilpotent groups was given in [LM].

Using the characterization of commutativity in terms of weights the following are straightforward.

Lemma 3.7 *Let r and c be integers with min{r,c} ≥ 2. Let $G = F_r(\mathcal{N}_c)$. Then an element $y \in G$ has wt(y) =1 if and only if there exist w_1, ..., $w_{c-1} \in G$ such that [y,w_1,...,w_{c-1}] ≠ 1 in G.*

Lemma 3.8 *Let $G = F_r(\mathcal{N}_c)$ and suppose that $r, c > 1$. Let $x, y, z \in G - \{1\}$ be such that $wt(y) = 1, [x, y] = 1$, and $[y, z] = 1$. Then $[x, z] = 1$.*

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is now direct. Suppose $x, y \in F_r(\mathcal{N}_c)$ for some $r, c > 1$ and suppose that $[x, y] = 1, [y, z] = 1$ and there exists $w_1, ..., w_{c-1} \in F_r(\mathcal{N}_c)$ with $[y, w_1, ...w_{c-1}] \neq 1$. From Lemma 3.7 then $wt(y) = 1$. If $wt(x) \neq 1$ then from Lemma 3.6 $wt(x) \geq c$.Then
$wt(x) + wt(z) \geq c + 1$ and $[x, z] = 1$ again from Lemma 3.6. The analogous fact is true if
$wt(z) \neq 1$.

Therefore we can reduce to the case where $wt(x) = wt(y) = wt(z) = 1$. The result then follows from Lemma 3.8.

Theorem 3.1 *Every non-abelian free nilpotent group is non-discriminating.*

The theorem follows immediately from Propositions 3.4 and 3.5 since a non-abelian free nil-c group has class c.

4 Open Questions

In this final section, we list several open problems on discriminating groups.

Question D1: Describe in terms of Ulm and Szmielew invariants the abelian discriminating groups.

Question D2: Are there any non-abelian finitely generated nilpotent discriminating groups? In particular, are the unitriangular nilpotent groups $UT_n(Z)$ discriminating? We note that when $n = 4$ then $UT_n(Z)$ is non-discriminating.

Question D3: What are (if any) the finitely generated metabelian discriminating groups?

Remark 4.1 *If $G \times G \hookrightarrow G$, then G is discriminating. In particular, if $G \times G \cong G$, then G is discriminating.*

A great deal of work has been done on the question of when $G \times G \cong G$. This concept is more interesting under the hypothesis of finite generation since if G_o is any nontrivial group, then - for example - $G = G_o^I \cong G \times G$ if I is any infinite index set. There are finitely generated examples of groups $G \neq 1$ (necessarily non-solvable) that are isomorphic to their Cartesian square, $G \times G \cong G$ (see [HM] and [J]) but no known finitely presented examples (see [HM]).

Question D4: (Peter Hilton) : Even though there exist finitely generated groups G with $G \cong G \times G$ (see [HM] and [J]), do there exist non-trivial finitely presented groups G isomorphic to their Cartesian square?

At present we know only two types of examples of discriminating groups: abelian groups and groups embeddable into their Cartesian square.

Question D5: Suppose G is a finitely presented discriminating group. If $G \times G$ does not embed in G must G be abelian?

5 References

[A] Auslander L. On a problem of Philip Hall, *Ann. Math.*, (1967), (2), v.86, pp. 112–116.

[BMR1] Baumslag, G., Myasnikov, A., Remeslennikov, V., "Discriminating and co-discriminating groups," *J. of Group Theory,* v. 3, no. 4 (2000), 467-479.

[BMR2] Baumslag, G., Myasnikov, A., Remeslennikov, V., "Algebraic geometry over groups I," *J. Algebra*, (1999), 219, 16-79.

[BS] Bell, J.L., Slomson, A.B., *Models and Ultraproducts: An Introduction*, (1969), North-Holland, Amsterdam.

[C] Chapuis O., "On the theories of free solvable groups," *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 131 (1998), no.1, 13-24.

[CPF] Cannon, J.W., Floyd, W.J., Parry, W.R., "Introductory notes on Richard Thompson's groups," *L'Ens. Math.,* (1996), 42, 215-256.

[FGMRS] Fine, B., Gaglione, A., Myasnikov, A., Rosenberger, G. and Spellman, D., "A classification of fully residually free groups of rank three or less," *J. Algebra*, (1998), 200, 570-605.

[FGMS] Fine, B., Gaglione, A., Myasnikov, A., and Spellman, D., "Groups whose universal theory is axiomatizable by quasi-identities," In preparation.

[FGRS] Fine, B., Gaglione, A., Rosenberger, G. and Spellman, D., "n-free groups and questions about universally free groups," *Proc. Groups St. Andrews/Galway 1993*, (1995), London Mathematical Society, London, 191-204.

[GaS] Gaglione, A., Spellman, D., "Every abelian group universally equivalent to a discriminating group is elementarily equivalent to a discriminating group," In preparation.

[GL] Gratzer G., Lasker H. A note on implicational class generated by a class of structures. *Can. Math. Bull.*, (1974), 16, 603–605.

[Gr] Grigorchuk, R.I., "On the growth of p-groups and torsion-free groups," *Math.USSR Sbornik,* (1986), 54,185-205 . (Russian original: Mat. Sb. 126 (168) (1985), no. 2, 194-214.

[GS] Gupta, N., Sidki, S., "On the Burnside problem for periodic groups," *Math. Z.,* (1983), 182, 385-388.

[HM] Hirshon, R., Meier, D., "Groups with a quotient that contains the original group as a direct factor," *Bull. Austral. Math Soc.,* (1992), 45, 513-520.

[J] Jones, J.M. Tyrer, "Direct products and the Hopf property," *J. Austral. Math Soc.*, (1974), 17, 174-196.

[LM] Lioutikov, S., Myasnikov, A., "Centroids of groups," *J. of Group Theory,* (2000), 3, 177-197.

[LR] Levin, F., Rosenberger, G., "On power-commutative and commutation transitive groups," *Proc. Groups St. Andrews 1985*, (1986), London Mathematical Society, London, 249-253.

[Ma] Magnus, W., "Uber Beziehungen zwischen hőheren Kommutatoren," *J. reine u. angew. Math.*, (1937), 177, 105-115.
[MKS] Magnus, W., Karass, A., Solitar, D., *Combinatorial Group Theory*, (1966), Interscience, New York.
[M] Malcev, A.I., "On free solvable groups," *Soviet Math. Dokl*, (1960), 65-68.
[MR] Myasnikov, A., Remeslennikov, V., "Algebraic geometry over groups II: Logical foundations," *J. Algebra*, (2000), 234, 225-276.
[N] Neumann, H., *Varieties of Groups*, (1967), Springer-Verlag, New York.
[S] Szmielew, W., "Elementary properties of Abelian groups," *Fund. Math.* 41 (1955), 203-271.
[W] Wu, Yu-Fen, "Groups in which commutativity is a transitive relation," *J. Algebra*, (1998), 207, 165-181.