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Actions of groups on $\Lambda$-trees by isometries have been studied (particularly free actions). Such actions are generally assumed to be without inversions.
Which groups admit a free isometric action on a line?
Note:
1 An ordered abelian group $\Lambda$ acts freely on itself by translation, as does every subgroup of $\Lambda$.
2. Every torsion-free abelian group admits a linear order making it an ordered abelian group.
3 The group of isometries of $\Lambda$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda \rtimes C_{2}$.
Therefore a group admits a free isometric action (without inversions) on a line if and only if it is torsion-free abelian.
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SOR 'Affine actions on non-archimedean trees'.
To appear in IJAC. See arxiv.org/abs/1112.4832 (2011).
An affine automorphism $\phi$ of a real metric space $X$ is a surjective function $X \rightarrow X$ for which there exists $\alpha=\alpha_{\phi} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
d(\phi x, \phi y)=\alpha_{\phi} d(x, y)
$$

Such an $\alpha_{\phi}$ must be positive (assuming $|X|>1$ ).

## Theorem (I. Liousse (2001))

There are groups that admit free affine actions on $\mathbb{R}$-trees that don't admit free isometric actions on any $\mathbb{R}$-tree. An example is

$$
\Gamma_{0}=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3} \mid\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right]=\left[x_{2}, y_{2}\right]=\left[x_{3}, y_{3}\right]\right\rangle .
$$

## Theorem (I. Liousse (2001))

There are groups that admit free affine actions on $\mathbb{R}$-trees that don't admit free isometric actions on any $\mathbb{R}$-tree. An example is

$$
\Gamma_{0}=\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3} \mid\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right]=\left[x_{2}, y_{2}\right]=\left[x_{3}, y_{3}\right]\right\rangle
$$

Liousse's examples are obtained by considering affine foliations on singular surfaces.
(The group $\Gamma_{0}$ above is the fundamental group of three punctured tori with their boundaries identified.)
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In earlier work we have shown

## Theorem (A. Martino, SOR (2004))

Liousse's groups do admit a free isometric action on a $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$-tree for some $n$.
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Some features of affine actions on general $\Lambda$-trees.
1 The based length function (Lyndon length function) $L_{x}: g \mapsto d(x, g x)$ can be defined and in fact determines an affine action much as in the isometric case. (The hyperbolic length function does not generalise usefully however.)
2 The class ATF of groups that admit a free affine action on a $\Lambda$-tree for some $\Lambda$ is closed under free products and ultraproducts.
3 As in the isometric case, a group $G$ is

- locally in ATF or
- fully residually in ATF if and only if $G$ is in ATF.
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In fact, $\Gamma \cong B S(1, r)=\left\langle a, t \mid t a t^{-1}=a^{r}\right\rangle$.
This action is also rigid in the sense that $g[x, y] \subseteq[x, y]$ implies $g[x, y]=[x, y]$ (and hence $g=1$ since the action is free).
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But the natural action of $\mathrm{UT}(n+1, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is not free.
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The group $\langle x, y\rangle$ is in fact isomorphic to the discrete Heisenberg group $H_{3}(\mathbb{Z})=\mathrm{UT}(3, \mathbb{Z})$.

Question: Do all unitriangular groups $\mathrm{UT}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ admit a faithful representation as admissible matrices?

Hint (K. Dekimpe): Look at affine structures on $\mathrm{UT}(n, \mathbb{Z})$, left symmetric algebras.
(See K. Dekimpe, W. Malfait
'Affine structures on a class of virtually nilpotent groups',
Top. Appl. 1996 for more details.)
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Extend to a binary operation on $\mathfrak{g}$ using bilinearity. This gives a left symmetric structure on $\mathfrak{g}$. That is, $\cdot$ is a bilinear operator satisfying
$1[x, y] \cdot z=x \cdot(y \cdot z)-y \cdot(x \cdot z)$;
$2[x, y]=x \cdot y-y \cdot x$.
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Then $\lambda(x)$ is an $m \times m$ upper triangular matrix.
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## Proposition

$\bar{\gamma}: \mathrm{UT}(n, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathrm{UT}(m+1, \mathbb{Q})$ is an injective group homomorphism with admissible image.

Example: If $n=3$ and $x_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & s_{i} & v_{i} \\ 0 & 0 & r_{i} \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)(i=1,2)$, then
$x_{1} \cdot x_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 0 & \frac{r_{2} s_{1}}{2}-\frac{r_{1} s_{2}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$,
$t\left(x_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}v_{2} \\ s_{2} \\ r_{2}\end{array}\right) t\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}\frac{r_{2} s_{1}}{2}-\frac{r_{1} s_{2}}{2} \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right)$
This gives $\lambda\left(x_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & -\frac{r_{1}}{2} & \frac{s_{1}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$
and hence $d \bar{\gamma}\left(x_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c}0 & -\frac{r_{1}}{2} & \frac{s_{1}}{2} & v_{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s_{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_{1} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { It follows that if } g=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & s & v \\
0 & 1 & r \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \text { then } \\
& \bar{\gamma}(g)=\exp \cdot d \bar{\gamma} \cdot \log (g)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -r / 2 & s / 2 & v-r s / 2 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & s \\
0 & 0 & 1 & r \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

1 The proposition above shows that $\mathrm{UT}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ has a free rigid affine action on $\mathbb{Q}^{m}$.

1 The proposition above shows that $\mathrm{UT}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ has a free rigid affine action on $\mathbb{Q}^{m}$.
2 It follows that every finitely generated subgroup of $\mathrm{UT}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ (such as $\mathrm{UT}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ ) has a free rigid affine action on $\mathbb{Z}^{m}$.

1 The proposition above shows that $\mathrm{UT}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ has a free rigid affine action on $\mathbb{Q}^{m}$.
2 It follows that every finitely generated subgroup of $\mathrm{UT}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ (such as $\mathrm{UT}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ ) has a free rigid affine action on $\mathbb{Z}^{m}$.
3 Every finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent group embeds in $\mathrm{UT}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ for some $n$. (P. Hall)

1 The proposition above shows that $\mathrm{UT}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ has a free rigid affine action on $\mathbb{Q}^{m}$.
2 It follows that every finitely generated subgroup of $\mathrm{UT}(n, \mathbb{Q})$ (such as $\mathrm{UT}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ ) has a free rigid affine action on $\mathbb{Z}^{m}$.
3 Every finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent group embeds in $\mathrm{UT}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ for some $n$. (P. Hall)

## Theorem

The groups that admit free affine actions on $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ for some $n$ are precisely finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent groups.

## Corollary

1 Every locally residually torsion-free nilpotent group admits a free rigid affine action on a line.
2 Every free polynilpotent group (of given class row) admits a free rigid affine action on a line.

Recall (once more) that $B S(1, r)$ admits a free rigid action on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$, via

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \cdot(m, x)=(m, \quad x+1) \\
& t \cdot(m, x)=(m+1, \quad r x) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

This can be naturally extended to an action on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$

Recall (once more) that $B S(1, r)$ admits a free rigid action on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$, via

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \cdot(m, x)=(m, \quad x+1) \\
& t \cdot(m, x)=(m+1, r x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This can be naturally extended to an action on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, and can be represented by matrices via

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& t \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{lll}
r & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall (once more) that $B S(1, r)$ admits a free rigid action on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$, via

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \cdot(m, x)=(m, \quad x+1) \\
& t \cdot(m, x)=(m+1, \quad r x) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

This can be naturally extended to an action on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, and can be represented by matrices via

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& t \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{lll}
r & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So what other (non-nilpotent) groups of upper triangular matrices admit free affine actions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for some $n$ ?

## Let $B=T^{*}(n, \mathbb{R})$ denote the group of all upper triangular matrices with real entries and positive diagonal entries.

## Let $B=T^{*}(n, \mathbb{R})$ denote the group of all upper triangular matrices with real entries and positive diagonal entries.

Then $B=U \rtimes D^{*}$, where $U$ denotes unipotent matrices and $D^{*}$ denotes diagonal matrices with positive diagonal entries.

Let $B=T^{*}(n, \mathbb{R})$ denote the group of all upper triangular matrices with real entries and positive diagonal entries.

Then $B=U \rtimes D^{*}$, where $U$ denotes unipotent matrices and $D^{*}$ denotes diagonal matrices with positive diagonal entries.

## Theorem

The group $T^{*}(n, \mathbb{R})$ admits an embedding in $T^{*}(m+n+1, \mathbb{R})$ with admissible image. Thus $T^{*}(n, \mathbb{R})$ admits a free rigid affine action on $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ (considered as an $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$-tree).

Let $B=T^{*}(n, \mathbb{R})$ denote the group of all upper triangular matrices with real entries and positive diagonal entries.

Then $B=U \rtimes D^{*}$, where $U$ denotes unipotent matrices and $D^{*}$ denotes diagonal matrices with positive diagonal entries.

## Theorem

The group $T^{*}(n, \mathbb{R})$ admits an embedding in $T^{*}(m+n+1, \mathbb{R})$ with admissible image. Thus $T^{*}(n, \mathbb{R})$ admits a free rigid affine action on $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ (considered as an $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$-tree).

The proof loosely follows an argument of John Milnor (see the proof of Theorem 1.2 in 'On Fundamental Groups of Complete Affinely Flat Manifolds' (Adv. Math. 1977)).

We already have an admissible embedding $\varphi=\bar{\gamma}: U \rightarrow \mathrm{UT}(m+1, \mathbb{R})$. Write

$$
\varphi(u)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varphi_{0}(u) & b(u) \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\varphi_{0}(u) \in \mathrm{UT}(m, \mathbb{R})$ and $b(u) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$.

We already have an admissible embedding $\varphi=\bar{\gamma}: U \rightarrow \mathrm{UT}(m+1, \mathbb{R})$. Write

$$
\varphi(u)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varphi_{0}(u) & b(u) \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\varphi_{0}(u) \in \mathrm{UT}(m, \mathbb{R})$ and $b(u) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$. For $d=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$, let
$d^{*}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{d_{1}}{d_{n}} ; \frac{d_{1}}{d_{n-1}}, \frac{d_{2}}{d_{n}} ; \ldots ; \frac{d_{1}}{d_{2}}, \frac{d_{2}}{d_{3}}, \ldots \frac{d_{n-1}}{d_{n}}\right)$, an $m \times m$ diagonal matrix.
Let $\log (d)$ denote the column vector $\left(\log d_{1}, \ldots, \log d_{n}\right)^{T}$.

We already have an admissible embedding $\varphi=\bar{\gamma}: U \rightarrow \mathrm{UT}(m+1, \mathbb{R})$. Write

$$
\varphi(u)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\varphi_{0}(u) & b(u) \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\varphi_{0}(u) \in \mathrm{UT}(m, \mathbb{R})$ and $b(u) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$. For $d=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$, let
$d^{*}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{d_{1}}{d_{n}} ; \frac{d_{1}}{d_{n-1}}, \frac{d_{2}}{d_{n}} ; \ldots ; \frac{d_{1}}{d_{2}}, \frac{d_{2}}{d_{3}}, \ldots \frac{d_{n-1}}{d_{n}}\right)$, an $m \times m$ diagonal matrix.
Let $\log (d)$ denote the column vector $\left(\log d_{1}, \ldots, \log d_{n}\right)^{T}$.
Now define $\bar{\varphi}(u)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\varphi_{0}(u) & 0 & b(u) \\ 0 & I_{n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$
and

$$
\bar{\varphi}(d)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
d^{*} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & I_{n} & \log (d) \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Then

Proposition
$1 \bar{\varphi}\left(d u d^{-1}\right)=\bar{\varphi}(d) \bar{\varphi}(u) \bar{\varphi}\left(d^{-1}\right)$.
2 $\bar{\varphi}: T^{*}(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow T^{*}(m+n+1, \mathbb{R})$ is an injective homomorphism with admissible image.

## Then

## Proposition

$1 \bar{\varphi}\left(d u d^{-1}\right)=\bar{\varphi}(d) \bar{\varphi}(u) \bar{\varphi}\left(d^{-1}\right)$.
$2 \bar{\varphi}: T^{*}(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow T^{*}(m+n+1, \mathbb{R})$ is an injective homomorphism with admissible image.

Consequently,

## Theorem

$T^{*}(n, \mathbb{R})$ admits a free rigid affine action on $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}$.

## Example: $n=3$

A typical element of $T^{*}(3, \mathbb{R})$ is expressible in the form ud where

$$
u=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & y & z \\
0 & 1 & x \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \text { and } d=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
r & 0 & 0 \\
0 & s & 0 \\
0 & 0 & t
\end{array}\right)
$$

Example: $n=3$
A typical element of $T^{*}(3, \mathbb{R})$ is expressible in the form $u d$ where
$u=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & y & z \\ 0 & 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $d=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t\end{array}\right)$.
Now $\varphi(u)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c}1 & -x / 2 & y / 2 & z-x y / 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & x \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ so that
$\varphi_{0}(u)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & -x / 2 & y / 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $b(u)=\left(\begin{array}{c}z-x y / 2 \\ y \\ x\end{array}\right)$.

$$
\text { Also } d^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
r / t & 0 & 0 \\
0 & r / s & 0 \\
0 & 0 & s / t
\end{array}\right) \text { so that } \bar{\varphi}(u d)=\bar{\varphi}(u) \bar{\varphi}(d)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Also } d^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
r / t & 0 & 0 \\
0 & r / s & 0 \\
0 & 0 & s / t
\end{array}\right) \text { so that } \bar{\varphi}(u d)=\bar{\varphi}(u) \bar{\varphi}(d) \text { where } \\
& \bar{\varphi}(u)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|c}
1 & -x / 2 & y / 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z-x y / 2 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also $d^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}r / t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r / s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s / t\end{array}\right)$ so that $\bar{\varphi}(u d)=\bar{\varphi}(u) \bar{\varphi}(d)$ where


## Go raibh maith agaibh!

