Cryptanalysis of two matrix key establishment protocols

S.R. Blackburn, C. Cid, C. Mullan

Royal Holloway College, London

February 16, 2011

- How do Alice and Bob securely establish a shared key?
- In practice, they can use the Diffie-Hellman protocol.
- But can we do any better? what about quantum adversaries? Efficiency? Diversity?
- Perhaps we can use matrix groups in some way...?

We will look at two schemes:

- A symmetric key transport protocol by Baumslag, Camps, Fine, Rosenberger and Xu (BCFRX, 2006).
- A public key agreement protocol by Habeeb, Kahrobaei and Shpilrain (HKS, 2010).

Both schemes suggest using matrix groups as a secure platform.

We provide a <u>concrete</u> description of each scheme, followed by a cryptanalysis in the passive adversary model.

We will consider 2-party key establishment protocols. Some flavours:

- Key agreement protocol: key is a function of both parties.
- Key transport protocol: key is a function of just one party.
- public protocol: Alice and Bob do not share any secrets.
- symmetric protocol: Alice and Bob apriori share a secret. They wish to use it to establish a new session key.

- This is a symmetric key transport protocol.
- Various abstract platform groups proposed (e.g. Aut(F_n), surface braid groups)
- We consider their matrix group proposal: $SL_4(\mathbb{Z})$.
- \bullet We describe (and cryptanalyse) the BCFRX protocol based on ${\rm SL}_4(\mathbb{Z}).$

The BCFRX Scheme

 Loosely speaking, inside the BCFRX scheme over SL₄(ℤ) there are two simpler schemes, Scheme A and Scheme B:

 $\mathsf{Scheme}\ \mathsf{A} \subset \mathsf{Scheme}\ \mathsf{B} \subset \mathsf{BCFRX}\ \mathsf{Scheme}.$

• We cryptanalyse Scheme A followed by Scheme B followed by the BCFRX scheme.

 Loosely speaking, inside the BCFRX scheme over SL₄(ℤ) there are two simpler schemes, Scheme A and Scheme B:

 $\mathsf{Scheme}\ \mathsf{A} \subset \mathsf{Scheme}\ \mathsf{B} \subset \mathsf{BCFRX}\ \mathsf{Scheme}.$

- We cryptanalyse Scheme A followed by Scheme B followed by the BCFRX scheme.
- Scheme A is a public version of BCFRX over $SL_4(p)$.
- Scheme B is a symmetric version of BCFRX over $SL_4(p)$.
- Let's look at Scheme A..

- The scheme requires two commuting subgroups of $SL_4(p)$.
- Alice samples the subgroup $\begin{pmatrix} SL_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix}$.
- Bob samples the subgroup $\begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0\\ 0 & SL_2(p) \end{pmatrix}$.
- These subgroups are known to an adversary.

• Flow 1: Bob picks a key $K \in SL_4(p)$ and $S_{22}, S'_{22} \in SL_2(p)$, and sends to Alice

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

• Flow 1: Bob picks a key $K \in SL_4(p)$ and $S_{22}, S'_{22} \in SL_2(p)$, and sends to Alice

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

• Flow 2: Alice picks $R_{11}, R'_{11} \in SL_2(p)$ and replies $D = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} C \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix}$

• Flow 1: Bob picks a key $K \in SL_4(p)$ and $S_{22}, S'_{22} \in SL_2(p)$, and sends to Alice

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

• Flow 2: Alice picks $R_{11}, R'_{11} \in SL_2(p)$ and replies

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} C \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Flow 1: Bob picks a key $K \in SL_4(p)$ and $S_{22}, S'_{22} \in SL_2(p)$, and sends to Alice

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

• Flow 2: Alice picks $R_{11}, R'_{11} \in SL_2(p)$ and replies

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} C \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

= $\begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix}$
= $\begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}$

• Flow 3: Bob replies

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} D \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Flow 3: Bob replies

$$\begin{split} E &= \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} D \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} \Big(\begin{array}{c} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{array} \Big) \end{split}$$

• Flow 3: Bob replies

$$\begin{split} E &= \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} D \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

• Flow 3: Bob replies

$$\begin{split} E &= \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} D \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Alice computes

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} R_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) E \left(\begin{smallmatrix} R'_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$$

• Flow 3: Bob replies

$$\begin{split} E &= \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} D \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Alice computes

$$\begin{pmatrix} R_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} E \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Flow 3: Bob replies

$$\begin{split} E &= \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} D \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Alice computes

$$\begin{pmatrix} R_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} E \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} K \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= K.$$

- Goal of passive adversary: to compute *K* from the 3 transmitted matrices *C*, *D*, *E*.
- For a general 4×4 matrix Z, write Z in block form as:

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{11} & Z_{12} \\ Z_{21} & Z_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Scheme A: a cryptanalysis

• Flow 1:
$$C = \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Flow 1:
$$C = \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{11} & \kappa_{12} \\ \kappa_{21} & \kappa_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{11} & \kappa_{12} S'_{22} \\ S_{22}\kappa_{21} & S_{22}\kappa_{22} S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

• Flow 1:
$$C = \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12}S'_{22} \\ S_{22}K_{21} & S_{22}K_{22}S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

• Flow 2: $D = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11}K_{11}R'_{11} & R_{11}K_{12}S'_{22} \\ S_{22}K_{21}R_{11} & S_{22}K'_{22}S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$

• Flow 1:
$$C = \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} S'_{22} \\ S_{22} K_{21} & S_{22} K_{22} S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
.
• Flow 2: $D = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} K_{11} R'_{11} & R_{11} K_{12} S'_{22} \\ S_{22} K_{21} R_{11} & S_{22} K'_{22} S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}$.
• Flow 3: $E = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} K_{11} R'_{11} & R_{11} K_{12} \\ K_{21} R_{11} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix}$.

• Flow 1:
$$C = \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12}S'_{22} \\ S_{22}K_{21} & S_{22}K_{22}S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

• Flow 2: $D = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11}K_{11}R'_{11} & R_{11}K_{12}S'_{22} \\ S_{22}K_{21}R_{11} & S_{22}K'_{22}S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$
• Flow 3: $E = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11}K_{11}R'_{11} & R_{11}K_{12} \\ K_{21}R_{11} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. So Eve knows K_{11}, K_{22} .

• Flow 1:
$$C = \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12}S'_{22} \\ S_{22}K_{21} & S_{22}K_{22}S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

• Flow 2: $D = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11}K_{11}R'_{11} & R_{11}K_{12}S'_{22} \\ S_{22}K_{21}R_{11} & S_{22}K'_{22}S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$
• Flow 3: $E = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11}K_{11}R'_{11} & R_{11}K_{12} \\ K_{21}R_{11} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. So Eve knows K_{11}, K_{22} .

• To find K_{12} , we find X such that $X(R_{11}K_{12}S'_{22}) = K_{12}S'_{22}$.

• Flow 1:
$$C = \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12}S'_{22} \\ S_{22}K_{21} & S_{22}K_{22}S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

• Flow 2:
$$D = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11}R_{11} & R_{11}R_{12} & R_{12} \\ S_{22}K_{21}R_{11} & S_{22}K'_{22}S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
.

• Flow 3:
$$E = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11}K_{11}R'_{11} & R_{11}K_{12} \\ K_{21}R_{11} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
. So Eve knows K_{11}, K_{22} .

• To find K_{12} , we find X such that $X(R_{11}K_{12}S'_{22}) = K_{12}S'_{22}$. • This implies $X(R_{11}K_{12}) = K_{12}$.

• Flow 1:
$$C = \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12}S'_{22} \\ S_{22}K_{21} & S_{22}K_{22}S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

• Flow 2: $D = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11}K_{11}R'_{11} & R_{11}K_{12}S'_{22} \\ S_{22}K_{21}R_{11} & S_{22}K'_{22}S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$

• Flow 3:
$$E = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11}K_{11}R'_{11} & R_{11}K_{12} \\ K_{21}R_{11} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
. So Eve knows K_{11}, K_{22} .

• To find K_{12} , we find X such that $X(R_{11}K_{12}S'_{22}) = K_{12}S'_{22}$. • This implies $X(R_{11}K_{12}) = K_{12}$. So Eve knows K_{12} .

• Flow 1:
$$C = \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{11} & \kappa_{12} \\ \kappa_{21} & \kappa_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{11} & \kappa_{12} S'_{22} \\ S_{22} \kappa_{21} & S_{22} \kappa_{22} S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

• Flow 2:
$$D = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11}K_{11}R'_{11}&R_{11}K_{12}S'_{22}\\S_{22}K_{21}R'_{11}&S_{22}K'_{22}S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
.

• Flow 3:
$$E = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11}K_{11}R'_{11} & R_{11}K_{12} \\ K_{21}R_{11} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
. So Eve knows K_{11}, K_{22} .

- To find K_{12} , we find X such that $X(R_{11}K_{12}S'_{22}) = K_{12}S'_{22}$.
- This implies $X(R_{11}K_{12}) = K_{12}$. So Eve knows K_{12} .
- Exercise: compute K_{21} .

• Flow 1:
$$C = \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12}S'_{22} \\ S_{22}K_{21} & S_{22}K_{22}S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

• Flow 2:
$$D = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11}K_{11}R'_{11} & R_{11}K_{12}S'_{22} \\ S_{22}K_{21}R_{11} & S_{22}K'_{22}S'_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
.

• Flow 3:
$$E = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11}K_{11}R'_{11} & R_{11}K_{12} \\ K_{21}R_{11} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
. So Eve knows K_{11}, K_{22} .

- To find K_{12} , we find X such that $X(R_{11}K_{12}S'_{22}) = K_{12}S'_{22}$.
- This implies $X(R_{11}K_{12}) = K_{12}$. So Eve knows K_{12} .
- Exercise: compute K_{21} .
- So Eve can compute K and Scheme A is broken.

- Recall: Scheme A \subset Scheme B \subset BCFRX Scheme, where:
- Scheme A is a public version of BCFRX over $SL_4(p)$.
- Scheme B is a symmetric version of BCFRX over $SL_4(p)$.
- Let's look at Scheme B..

• Alice and Bob share a secret matrix $M \in SL_4(p)$.

- Alice and Bob share a secret matrix $M \in SL_4(p)$.
- We still require commuting subgroups of $SL_4(p)$.
- Now Alice samples the subgroup $M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(\rho) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M$.
- And Bob samples the subgroup $M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{SL}_2(p) \end{pmatrix} M$.

- Alice and Bob share a secret matrix $M \in SL_4(p)$.
- We still require commuting subgroups of $SL_4(p)$.
- Now Alice samples the subgroup $M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix} M$.
- And Bob samples the subgroup $M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{SL}_2(p) \end{pmatrix} M$.
- An adversary does NOT know these subgroups.
- The rest of the protocol is exactly the same ...

• Flow 1: Bob picks a key $K \in SL_4(p)$ and $S_{22}, S'_{22} \in SL_2(p)$, and sends to Alice

$$C = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} MKM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} M.$$

Flow 1: Bob picks a key K ∈ SL₄(p) and S₂₂, S'₂₂ ∈ SL₂(p), and sends to Alice

$$C = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} M K M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} M.$$

• Flow 2: Alice picks $R_{11}, R'_{11} \in SL_2(p)$ and replies

$$D = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} MCM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M$$

Flow 1: Bob picks a key K ∈ SL₄(p) and S₂₂, S'₂₂ ∈ SL₂(p), and sends to Alice

$$C = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} M K M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} M.$$

• Flow 2: Alice picks $R_{11}, R'_{11} \in SL_2(p)$ and replies

$$D = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} MCM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M$$

= $M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} MM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} MKM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} MM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M$

Scheme B description

Flow 1: Bob picks a key K ∈ SL₄(p) and S₂₂, S'₂₂ ∈ SL₂(p), and sends to Alice

$$C = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} M K M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} M.$$

• Flow 2: Alice picks $R_{11}, R'_{11} \in SL_2(p)$ and replies

$$D = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} MCM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M$$

= $M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} MM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} MKM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} MM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M$
= $M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} MKM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M$

Scheme B description

Flow 1: Bob picks a key K ∈ SL₄(p) and S₂₂, S'₂₂ ∈ SL₂(p), and sends to Alice

$$C = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} M K M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} M.$$

• Flow 2: Alice picks $R_{11}, R'_{11} \in SL_2(p)$ and replies

$$D = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} MCM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M$$

= $M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} MM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} MKM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} MM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M$
= $M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} MKM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M$
= $M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} MKM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M$

• Flow 3: Bob replies

$$E = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} M D M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & {S'}_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} M$$

• Flow 3: Bob replies

$$E = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} MDM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} M$$
$$= M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} MKM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M.$$

• Flow 3: Bob replies

$$E = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} MDM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & S'_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} M$$
$$= M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} MKM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} R'_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M.$$

• Since Alice knows R_{11} , R'_{11} and M, she can compute K.

• Alice samples the subgroup $\mathcal{A} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M$.

• Bob samples the subgroup $\mathcal{B} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{SL}_2(\rho) \end{pmatrix} M$.

- Alice samples the subgroup $\mathcal{A} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M.$
- Bob samples the subgroup $\mathcal{B} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{SL}_2(\rho) \end{pmatrix} M$.
- If Eve knows *M* we are in Scheme *A*.

- Alice samples the subgroup $\mathcal{A} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M.$
- Bob samples the subgroup $\mathcal{B} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{SL}_2(\rho) \end{pmatrix} M$.
- If Eve knows *M* we are in Scheme *A*.
- So to break Scheme *B* it suffices to find *M*.

- Alice samples the subgroup $\mathcal{A} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M.$
- Bob samples the subgroup $\mathcal{B} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{SL}_2(\rho) \end{pmatrix} M$.
- If Eve knows *M* we are in Scheme *A*.
- So to break Scheme *B* it suffices to find *M*. But it's not necessary to find *M*!

- Alice samples the subgroup $\mathcal{A} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M.$
- Bob samples the subgroup $\mathcal{B} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0\\ 0 & \mathrm{SL}_2(p) \end{pmatrix} M$.
- If Eve knows *M* we are in Scheme *A*.
- So to break Scheme *B* it suffices to find *M*. But it's not necessary to find *M*!
- We just need to find any invertible matrix N such that:

$$\mathcal{A} = N^{-1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) N, \ \mathcal{B} = N^{-1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{SL}_2(p) \end{smallmatrix} \right) N.$$

Eve can compute K if she knows a matrix N such that

$$\mathcal{A} = N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} N, \ \mathcal{B} = N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{SL}_2(p) \end{pmatrix} N.$$

Eve can compute K if she knows a matrix N such that

$$\mathcal{A} = N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} N, \ \mathcal{B} = N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{SL}_2(p) \end{pmatrix} N.$$

Proof

• Suppose Eve knows N.

Eve can compute K if she knows a matrix N such that

$$\mathcal{A} = N^{-1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) N, \ \mathcal{B} = N^{-1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{SL}_2(p) \end{smallmatrix} \right) N.$$

Proof

- Suppose Eve knows N.
- Given transmitted matrices *C*, *D*, *E*, conjugate by *N*: *NCN*⁻¹, *NDN*⁻¹, *NEN*⁻¹.

Eve can compute K if she knows a matrix N such that

$$\mathcal{A} = N^{-1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) N, \ \mathcal{B} = N^{-1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{SL}_2(p) \end{smallmatrix} \right) N.$$

Proof

- Suppose Eve knows N.
- Given transmitted matrices *C*, *D*, *E*, conjugate by *N*: *NCN*⁻¹, *NDN*⁻¹, *NEN*⁻¹.
- Use linear algebra to compute NKN^{-1} (as we did for Scheme A).

Eve can compute K if she knows a matrix N such that

$$\mathcal{A} = N^{-1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) N, \ \mathcal{B} = N^{-1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} l_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{SL}_2(p) \end{smallmatrix} \right) N.$$

Proof

- Suppose Eve knows N.
- Given transmitted matrices *C*, *D*, *E*, conjugate by *N*: *NCN*⁻¹, *NDN*⁻¹, *NEN*⁻¹.
- Use linear algebra to compute NKN^{-1} (as we did for Scheme A).
- Compute K from NKN^{-1} .

• Let's focus on Alice's subgroup $\mathcal{A} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M$.

• Let's focus on Alice's subgroup $\mathcal{A} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M.$

• Eve needs to find a matrix N such that $\mathcal{A} = N^{-1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathrm{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) N$

- Let's focus on Alice's subgroup $\mathcal{A} = M^{-1} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right) M.$
- Eve needs to find a matrix N such that $\mathcal{A} = N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} N$
- For some $U, V \in \operatorname{GL}_2(p)$, consider the matrix $N = \left(egin{array}{c} U & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{array}
 ight) M$.

- Let's focus on Alice's subgroup $\mathcal{A} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M.$
- Eve needs to find a matrix N such that $\mathcal{A} = N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} N$
- For some $U, V \in GL_2(p)$, consider the matrix $N = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} M$. • Then

$$N^{-1}\left(egin{array}{c} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{array}
ight) N =$$

- Let's focus on Alice's subgroup $\mathcal{A} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M$.
- Eve needs to find a matrix N such that $\mathcal{A} = N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} N$
- For some $U, V \in GL_2(p)$, consider the matrix $N = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} M$. • Then

$$N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} N = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} U^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & V^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} M$$

- Let's focus on Alice's subgroup $\mathcal{A} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M.$
- Eve needs to find a matrix N such that $\mathcal{A} = N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} N$
- For some $U, V \in GL_2(p)$, consider the matrix $N = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} M$. • Then

$$N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_{2}(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_{2} \end{pmatrix} N = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} U^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & V^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_{2}(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} M$$
$$= M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} U^{-1} \operatorname{SL}_{2}(p) U & 0 \\ 0 & V^{-1} V \end{pmatrix} M$$

- Let's focus on Alice's subgroup $\mathcal{A} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M$.
- Eve needs to find a matrix N such that $\mathcal{A} = N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} N$
- For some U, V ∈ GL₂(p), consider the matrix N = (U 0 V) M.
 Then

$$N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_{2}(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_{2} \end{pmatrix} N = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} U^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & V^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_{2}(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} M$$
$$= M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} U^{-1}\operatorname{SL}_{2}(p)U & 0 \\ 0 & V^{-1}V \end{pmatrix} M$$
$$= M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_{2}(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_{2} \end{pmatrix} M$$

- Let's focus on Alice's subgroup $\mathcal{A} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M$.
- Eve needs to find a matrix N such that $\mathcal{A} = N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} N$
- For some U, V ∈ GL₂(p), consider the matrix N = (U 0 V) M.
 Then

$$N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_{2}(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_{2} \end{pmatrix} N = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} U^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & V^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_{2}(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} M$$
$$= M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} U^{-1}\operatorname{SL}_{2}(p)U & 0 \\ 0 & V^{-1}V \end{pmatrix} M$$
$$= M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_{2}(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_{2} \end{pmatrix} M$$
$$= \mathcal{A}.$$

- Let's focus on Alice's subgroup $\mathcal{A} = M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} M$.
- Eve needs to find a matrix N such that $\mathcal{A} = N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_2(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_2 \end{pmatrix} N$
- For some U, V ∈ GL₂(p), consider the matrix N = (U 0 V) M.
 Then

$$\begin{split} N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_{2}(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_{2} \end{pmatrix} N &= M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} U^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & V^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_{2}(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} M \\ &= M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} U^{-1} \operatorname{SL}_{2}(p) U & 0 \\ 0 & V^{-1} V \end{pmatrix} M \\ &= M^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{SL}_{2}(p) & 0 \\ 0 & l_{2} \end{pmatrix} M \\ &= \mathcal{A}. \end{split}$$

• The same argument holds for \mathcal{B} .

• Let
$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
. Assume* M_{11} and M_{22} are invertible.

• Let
$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
. Assume* M_{11} and M_{22} are invertible.

• Set
$$U = M_{11}^{-1}, V = M_{22}^{-1}$$
.

• Let
$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
. Assume* M_{11} and M_{22} are invertible.

• Set
$$U = M_{11}^{-1}, V = M_{22}^{-1}$$
.
• So

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & M_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & M_{11}^{-1} M_{12} \\ M_{22}^{-1} M_{21} & I_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Let
$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
. Assume* M_{11} and M_{22} are invertible.

• Set
$$U = M_{11}^{-1}, V = M_{22}^{-1}$$
.
• So

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & M_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & M_{11}^{-1} M_{12} \\ M_{22}^{-1} M_{21} & l_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & N_{12} \\ N_{21} & l_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

• So now Eve is looking for a matrix $N = \begin{pmatrix} l_2 & N_{12} \\ N_{21} & l_2 \end{pmatrix}$.

• Clearly Eve does not know N^{-1} . So we have 8 unknowns for N and 16 unknowns for N^{-1} : a total of 24 unknowns.

- Clearly Eve does not know N^{-1} . So we have 8 unknowns for N and 16 unknowns for N^{-1} : a total of 24 unknowns.
- From the transmitted matrices C, D, E, one can find 8 quadratic equations in the entries of N and N^{-1} .

- Clearly Eve does not know N^{-1} . So we have 8 unknowns for N and 16 unknowns for N^{-1} : a total of 24 unknowns.
- From the transmitted matrices C, D, E, one can find 8 quadratic equations in the entries of N and N^{-1} .
- Furthermore, we require N to be invertible, so we have 16 quadratic equations given by $NN^{-1} = I_4$.

- Clearly Eve does not know N^{-1} . So we have 8 unknowns for N and 16 unknowns for N^{-1} : a total of 24 unknowns.
- From the transmitted matrices C, D, E, one can find 8 quadratic equations in the entries of N and N^{-1} .
- Furthermore, we require N to be invertible, so we have 16 quadratic equations given by $NN^{-1} = I_4$.
- This gives us 24 quadratic equations in 24 unknowns.

- We can solve this system of equations using Gröbner bases.
- Experimentally, over 1000 trials, each Gröbner basis calculation reveals a maximum of 6 possibilities for N (\approx 12 seconds for 300 bit prime p on a standard PC in Magma).

- We can solve this system of equations using Gröbner bases.
- Experimentally, over 1000 trials, each Gröbner basis calculation reveals a maximum of 6 possibilities for N (\approx 12 seconds for 300 bit prime p on a standard PC in Magma).
- Observing another run of the protocol gives us 8 new equations. Adding these to the Gröbner basis calculation reveals a unique N.
- Scheme B is broken.

- Recall: Scheme A \subset Scheme B \subset BCFRX Scheme, where:
- Scheme A is a public version of BCFRX over $SL_4(p)$.
- Scheme B is a symmetric version of BCFRX over $SL_4(p)$.
- Let's look at the BCFRX Scheme..

 This is Scheme B but working over SL₄(ℤ) instead of SL₄(*p*). But SL₄(ℤ) is infinite!

- This is Scheme B but working over SL₄(ℤ) instead of SL₄(*p*). But SL₄(ℤ) is infinite!
- However we sample SL₄(Z), in practice there is a bound Λ on all matrices generated in the protocol. In particular K = K mod Λ.

- This is Scheme B but working over SL₄(ℤ) instead of SL₄(*p*). But SL₄(ℤ) is infinite!
- However we sample SL₄(Z), in practice there is a bound Λ on all matrices generated in the protocol. In particular K = K mod Λ.
- When presented with matrices C, D, E from BCFRX Scheme, pick primes p_i such that $\prod p_i > \Lambda$.

- This is Scheme B but working over SL₄(ℤ) instead of SL₄(*p*). But SL₄(ℤ) is infinite!
- However we sample SL₄(Z), in practice there is a bound Λ on all matrices generated in the protocol. In particular K = K mod Λ.
- When presented with matrices C, D, E from BCFRX Scheme, pick primes p_i such that $\prod p_i > \Lambda$.
- Compute $K \mod p_i$ as we did for Scheme B, followed by $K \mod \prod p_i = K$ using Chinese Remainder Theorem.

- This is Scheme B but working over SL₄(ℤ) instead of SL₄(*p*). But SL₄(ℤ) is infinite!
- However we sample SL₄(Z), in practice there is a bound Λ on all matrices generated in the protocol. In particular K = K mod Λ.
- When presented with matrices C, D, E from BCFRX Scheme, pick primes p_i such that $\prod p_i > \Lambda$.
- Compute $K \mod p_i$ as we did for Scheme B, followed by $K \mod \prod p_i = K$ using Chinese Remainder Theorem.
- BCFRX Scheme is broken over $SL_4(\mathbb{Z})$.

- This is a public key agreement protocol.
- Quite abstact, involving semidirect products. But becomes transparent using suggested platform group GL_n(p).
- We describe (and cryptanalyse) the HKS Scheme based on $\operatorname{GL}_n(p)$ (and in a little more generality.)

HKS Scheme

• We have public algorithms M_A , M_B such that on all inputs, commuting matrices in $GL_n(p)$ are output:

$$A \leftarrow M_A, B \leftarrow M_B, AB = BA.$$

HKS Scheme

• We have public algorithms M_A , M_B such that on all inputs, commuting matrices in $GL_n(p)$ are output:

$$A \leftarrow M_A, B \leftarrow M_B, AB = BA.$$

- Let $b \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be public.
- Alice runs M_A and sends Ab to Bob.
- Bob runs M_B and sends Bb to Alice.

HKS Scheme

• We have public algorithms M_A , M_B such that on all inputs, commuting matrices in $GL_n(p)$ are output:

$$A \leftarrow M_A, B \leftarrow M_B, AB = BA.$$

- Let $b \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be public.
- Alice runs M_A and sends Ab to Bob.
- Bob runs M_B and sends Bb to Alice.
- Alice computes u = A(Bb). Bob computes v = B(Ab).
- Since A and B commute, u = v is their shared key.

Goal of passive adversary: given b, u = Ab, v = Bb, compute k = ABb. Here's how:

Goal of passive adversary: given b, u = Ab, v = Bb, compute k = ABb. Here's how:

• Eve samples M_A to obtain matrices $A_1, A_2, ...$ These matrices are guaranteed to commute with B.

Goal of passive adversary: given b, u = Ab, v = Bb, compute k = ABb. Here's how:

- Eve samples M_A to obtain matrices $A_1, A_2, ...$ These matrices are guaranteed to commute with B.
- Find X such that:

 $\begin{aligned} XA_i &= A_i X\\ Xb &= v. \end{aligned}$

Goal of passive adversary: given b, u = Ab, v = Bb, compute k = ABb. Here's how:

- Eve samples M_A to obtain matrices $A_1, A_2, ...$ These matrices are guaranteed to commute with B.
- Find X such that:

$$XA_i = A_iX$$

 $Xb = v.$

Note that a solution exists: X = B.
 Note that X is extremely likely to commute with A.

Goal of passive adversary: given b, u = Ab, v = Bb, compute k = ABb. Here's how:

- Eve samples M_A to obtain matrices $A_1, A_2, ...$ These matrices are guaranteed to commute with B.
- Find X such that:

$$XA_i = A_iX$$

 $Xb = v.$

- Note that a solution exists: X = B.
 Note that X is <u>extremely</u> likely to commute with A.
- Compute

$$Xu = XAb = AXb = Av = ABb = k.$$

- We've seen two insecure matrix-based key establishment protocols.
- There are more! For example, Stickel's scheme (2004), Romanczuk–Ustimenko scheme (2010), Baba–Kotyad–Teja scheme (eprints 2011).

- We've seen two insecure matrix-based key establishment protocols.
- There are more! For example, Stickel's scheme (2004), Romanczuk–Ustimenko scheme (2010), Baba–Kotyad–Teja scheme (eprints 2011).
- Conclusion: take care with matrices.

- We've seen two insecure matrix-based key establishment protocols.
- There are more! For example, Stickel's scheme (2004), Romanczuk–Ustimenko scheme (2010), Baba–Kotyad–Teja scheme (eprints 2011).
- Conclusion: take care with matrices.

-thanks for streaming!